

**Supplemental Materials:  
Big Five Personality Traits and Moral-Dilemma Judgments:  
Two Preregistered Studies Using the CNI Model**

Dillon M. Luke & Bertram Gawronski

The University of Texas at Austin

**Table S1**

*Cross-sectional zero-order correlations between personality traits and moral judgment indices in Study 1, all recruited participants (n = 255).*

Personality Trait	Traditional	95% CI	C Parameter	95% CI	N Parameter	95% CI	I Parameter	95% CI
Extraversion	-.04	[-.17, .08]	-.02	[-.14, .11]	.00	[-.12, .13]	-.01	[-.13, .12]
Agreeableness	-.12*	[-.24, .00]	-.04	[-.16, .08]	.18**	[.06, .30]	-.08	[-.20, .04]
Conscientiousness	-.05	[-.18, .07]	-.05	[-.17, .07]	.08	[-.04, .20]	-.04	[-.16, .08]
Neuroticism	-.01	[-.13, .12]	-.03	[-.15, .10]	-.06	[-.18, .07]	.06	[-.07, .18]
Openness	.04	[-.08, .16]	.04	[-.09, .16]	-.04	[-.17, .08]	.00	[-.12, .12]

*Note:* Traditional = traditional dilemma score. C = sensitivity to consequences. N = sensitivity to moral norms. I = general preference for inaction versus action. CI = confidence interval. \* p < .05. \*\* p < .01.

**Table S2**

*Zero-order correlations between personality traits and moral judgment indices in Study 1 excluding the Abduction Dilemma (n = 250).*

Personality Trait	Traditional	95% CI	C Parameter	95% CI	N Parameter	95% CI	I Parameter	95% CI
Extraversion	-.02	[-.14, .10]	.02	[-.10, .15]	.01	[-.11, .13]	-.02	[-.15, .10]
Agreeableness	-.10	[-.22, .03]	-.03	[-.16, .09]	.17**	[.04, .28]	-.06	[-.18, .07]
Conscientiousness	-.07	[-.19, .05]	-.06	[-.18, .07]	.11†	[-.02, .23]	-.03	[-.15, .10]
Neuroticism	-.01	[-.13, .11]	-.05	[-.17, .08]	-.07	[-.19, .05]	.06	[-.06, .18]
Openness	.06	[-.06, .18]	.04	[-.08, .16]	-.07	[-.19, .06]	.02	[-.10, .15]

*Note:* Traditional = traditional dilemma score. C = sensitivity to consequences. N = sensitivity to moral norms. I = general preference for inaction versus action. CI = confidence interval. †  $p < .10$ . \*\*  $p < .01$ .

**Table S3**

*Zero-order correlations between personality traits and moral judgment indices in Study 2 excluding the Abduction Dilemma (n = 240).*

Personality Trait	Traditional	95% CI	C Parameter	95% CI	N Parameter	95% CI	I Parameter	95% CI
Extraversion	-.08	[-.21, .05]	-.23***	[-.34, -.10]	-.03	[-.16, .09]	.07	[-.06, .19]
Agreeableness	-.40***	[-.50, -.28]	.20**	[.08, .32]	.41***	[.30, .51]	.28***	[.16, .39]
Conscientiousness	-.36***	[-.47, -.24]	.08	[-.05, .21]	.33***	[.21, .44]	.29***	[.17, .40]
Neuroticism	.20**	[.07, .31]	.03	[-.10, .16]	-.14*	[-.26, -.01]	-.19**	[-.31, -.07]
Openness	-.27***	[-.38, -.15]	.25***	[.13, .37]	.32***	[.20, .43]	.18**	[.05, .30]

Note: Traditional = traditional dilemma score. C = sensitivity to consequences. N = sensitivity to moral norms. I = general preference for inaction versus action. CI = confidence interval. \*  $p < .05$ . \*\*  $p < .01$ . \*\*\*  $p < .001$ .

**Table S4**

*Bootstrapped zero-order correlations between personality traits and moral judgment indices in Study 1 (n = 250).*

Personality Trait	Traditional	95% CI	C Parameter	95% CI	N Parameter	95% CI	I Parameter	95% CI
Extraversion	-.04	[-.16, .08]	-.02	[-.14, .11]	.01	[-.13, .14]	-.01	[-.13, .11]
Agreeableness	-.12	[-.23, -.00]	-.04	[-.16, .09]	.18	[.07, .30]	-.09	[-.20, .03]
Conscientiousness	-.07	[-.18, .04]	-.06	[-.18, .06]	.09	[-.03, .20]	-.03	[-.15, .08]
Neuroticism	-.00	[-.12, .12]	-.02	[-.15, .10]	-.05	[-.17, .07]	.07	[-.05, .19]
Openness	.04	[-.07, .16]	.04	[-.09, .16]	-.04	[-.17, .08]	.01	[-.11, .13]

*Note:* Traditional = traditional dilemma score. C = sensitivity to consequences. N = sensitivity to moral norms. I = general preference for inaction versus action. CI = confidence interval. Correlations and 95% CIs obtained from 10,000 bootstrap samples.

**Table S5**

*Bootstrapped Spearman rank correlations between personality traits and moral judgment indices in Study 1 (n = 250).*

Personality Trait	Traditional	95% CI	C Parameter	95% CI	N Parameter	95% CI	I Parameter	95% CI
Extraversion	-.03	[-.15, .10]	.01	[-.11, .14]	.01	[-.13, .12]	.01	[-.12, .14]
Agreeableness	-.12	[-.23, .01]	-.02	[-.14, .11]	.18	[.05, .29]	-.04	[-.16, .09]
Conscientiousness	-.08	[-.20, .04]	-.07	[-.19, .05]	.06	[-.06, .17]	-.02	[-.15, .10]
Neuroticism	.02	[-.10, .15]	-.02	[-.15, .10]	-.06	[-.19, .06]	.06	[-.07, .18]
Openness	.06	[-.06, .18]	.05	[-.07, .18]	-.04	[-.16, .09]	.02	[-.11, .13]

*Note:* Traditional = traditional dilemma score. C = sensitivity to consequences. N = sensitivity to moral norms. I = general preference for inaction versus action. CI = confidence interval. Correlations and 95% CIs obtained from 10,000 bootstrap samples.

**Table S6**

*Bootstrapped zero-order correlations between personality traits and moral judgment indices in Study 2 (n = 240).*

Personality Trait	Traditional	95% CI	C Parameter	95% CI	N Parameter	95% CI	I Parameter	95% CI
Extraversion	-.07	[-.19, .05]	-.22	[-.37, -.05]	-.04	[-.17, .09]	.13	[.01, .24]
Agreeableness	-.39	[-.50, -.27]	.20	[.08, .32]	.40	[.28, .51]	.29	[.17, .40]
Conscientiousness	-.33	[-.44, -.23]	.12	[-.05, .28]	.31	[.18, .43]	.31	[.19, .42]
Neuroticism	.18	[.07, .29]	.02	[-.13, .16]	-.11	[-.25, .02]	-.21	[-.32, -.09]
Openness	-.27	[-.39, -.15]	.26	[.12, .39]	.31	[.18, .45]	.22	[.10, .33]

*Note:* Traditional = traditional dilemma score. C = sensitivity to consequences. N = sensitivity to moral norms. I = general preference for inaction versus action. CI = confidence interval. Correlations and 95% CIs obtained from 10,000 bootstrap samples.

**Table S7**

*Bootstrapped Spearman rank correlations between personality traits and moral judgment indices in Study 2 (n = 240).*

Personality Trait	Traditional	95% CI	C Parameter	95% CI	N Parameter	95% CI	I Parameter	95% CI
Extraversion	-.08	[-.20, .05]	-.22	[-.35, -.09]	-.09	[-.22, .04]	.12	[-.00, .24]
Agreeableness	-.41	[-.51, -.29]	.25	[.13, .37]	.39	[.27, .49]	.31	[.19, .42]
Conscientiousness	-.36	[-.46, -.25]	.16	[.03, .30]	.29	[.17, .40]	.32	[.20, .43]
Neuroticism	.21	[.08, .32]	-.08	[-.21, .05]	-.15	[-.27, -.02]	-.24	[-.35, -.12]
Openness	-.31	[-.43, -.19]	.30	[.17, .41]	.35	[.22, .47]	.26	[.14, .38]

*Note:* Traditional = traditional dilemma score. C = sensitivity to consequences. N = sensitivity to moral norms. I = general preference for inaction versus action. CI = confidence interval. Correlations and 95% CIs obtained from 10,000 bootstrap samples.

**Table S8**

*Standardized beta coefficients from multiple regression analyses regressing moral judgment indices on personality trait facet scores in existing data from Luke & Gawronski (2021) at Time 1 (n = 305) and Time 2 (n = 195).*

Personality Trait	Time 1 (n = 305)				Time 2 (n = 195)			
	Traditional	C Parameter	N Parameter	I Parameter	Traditional	C Parameter	N Parameter	I Parameter
Extra. Adj. R <sup>2</sup>	0.00	0.04	0.00	-0.01	0.01	0.03	-0.01	-0.01
Sociability	0.04	-0.14*	-0.08	0.02	-0.03	-0.13	-0.07	-0.06
Assertiveness	-0.03	-0.02	-0.02	-0.01	0.09	0.05	-0.02	-0.05
Energy Level	-0.10	-0.10	0.05	-0.05	-0.16†	-0.15†	-0.01	0.06
Agree. Adj. R <sup>2</sup>	0.11	0.05	0.19	0.04	0.08	-0.01	0.06	0.00
Compassion	-0.23**	0.00	0.20**	0.15†	-0.22*	-0.01	0.15	0.11
Respectfulness	-0.20**	0.29***	0.33***	0.14†	-0.16†	0.03	0.17†	0.07
Trust	0.08	-0.16*	-0.06	-0.10	0.10	0.00	-0.04	-0.06
Con. Adj. R <sup>2</sup>	0.06	0.01	0.08	0.01	0.07	0.00	0.06	0.02
Organization	0.06	-0.08	-0.18**	-0.07	0.04	-0.16†	-0.17*	-0.16†
Productiveness	-0.25**	-0.12	0.18*	0.11	-0.08	0.01	0.08	0.11
Responsibility	-0.06	0.19*	0.23**	0.06	-0.25*	0.09	0.26**	0.11
Neuro. Adj. R <sup>2</sup>	0.01	0.05	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.06	-0.01	-0.01
Anxiety	-0.13	0.24**	0.30***	0.19*	0.18†	0.27**	0.02	0.05
Depression	0.15*	0.01	-0.11	-0.07	0.05	0.06	0.07	-0.03
Emot. Volatility	0.02	-0.30***	-0.26***	-0.11	-0.14	-0.36***	-0.12	0.02
Open. Adj. R <sup>2</sup>	0.06	0.08	0.16	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.07	0.01
Aes. Sensitivity	-0.07	0.11	0.13*	0.11†	-0.08	0.11	0.16†	0.02
Int. Curiosity	-0.09	0.28***	0.24***	0.01	-0.11	0.19*	0.22*	0.13
Cr. Imagination	-0.15*	-0.11	0.12†	0.14†	-0.08	-0.11	-0.04	0.03

Note: Traditional = traditional dilemma score. C = sensitivity to consequences. N = sensitivity to moral norms. I = general preference for inaction versus action. Extra = Extraversion. Agree = Agreeableness. Con = Conscientiousness. Neuro = Neuroticism. Open = Openness. Emot. Volatility = Emotional Volatility. Aes. Sensitivity = Aesthetic Sensitivity. Int. Curiosity = Intellectual Curiosity. Cr. Imagination = Creative Imagination. † p < .10. \* p < .05. \*\* p < .01. \*\*\* p < .001.

**Table S9**

*Standardized beta coefficients from multiple regression analyses regressing moral judgment indices on personality trait facet scores in Study 1 (n = 250) and Study 2 (n = 240).*

Personality Trait	Study 1 (n = 250)				Study 2 (n = 240)			
	Traditional	C Parameter	N Parameter	I Parameter	Traditional	C Parameter	N Parameter	I Parameter
Extra. Adj. R <sup>2</sup>	-0.01	0.00	-0.01	-0.01	0.00	0.04	-0.01	0.01
Sociability	0.02	0.07	0.04	-0.08	-0.07	-0.09	0.04	0.06
Assertiveness	0.01	0.01	-0.07	0.08	0.06	-0.07	-0.10	-0.02
Energy Level	-0.08	-0.11	0.04	0.00	-0.07	-0.12 <sup>†</sup>	0.01	0.13 <sup>†</sup>
Agree. Adj. R <sup>2</sup>	0.03	-0.01	0.06	0.02	0.14	0.09	0.17	0.09
Compassion	-0.25**	-0.04	0.31***	0.16*	-0.16*	0.02	0.18*	0.03
Respectfulness	0.07	-0.06	-0.07	-0.12	-0.20**	0.34***	0.30***	0.26***
Trust	0.04	0.05	-0.02	-0.16*	-0.12	-0.12	-0.01	0.06
Con. Adj. R <sup>2</sup>	0.00	0.05	0.01	-0.01	0.11	0.04	0.14	0.10
Organization	-0.05	-0.21**	-0.06	-0.10	-0.04	-0.07	-0.13 <sup>†</sup>	0.06
Productiveness	-0.10	-0.08	0.05	0.04	-0.14 <sup>†</sup>	-0.05	0.19*	0.04
Responsibility	0.08	0.23**	0.13 <sup>†</sup>	0.02	-0.22**	0.27**	0.32***	0.27***
Neuro. Adj. R <sup>2</sup>	0.03	0.00	0.06	-0.01	0.06	0.03	0.08	0.08
Anxiety	-0.27**	-0.12	0.31***	0.04	-0.18*	0.18*	0.28***	0.16*
Depression	0.10	0.06	-0.12	0.02	0.22**	0.08	-0.16*	-0.24**
Emot. Volatility	0.15 <sup>†</sup>	0.03	-0.25**	0.02	0.18*	-0.24**	-0.27***	-0.18*
Open. Adj. R <sup>2</sup>	0.01	0.01	0.00	-0.01	0.07	0.06	0.09	0.04
Aes. Sensitivity	0.15*	0.14*	-0.09	0.06	-0.03	0.19*	0.13	0.02
Int. Curiosity	0.00	0.05	0.08	0.02	-0.05	0.04	0.06	0.02
Cr. Imagination	-0.09	-0.13 <sup>†</sup>	-0.04	-0.06	-0.24**	0.08	0.19*	0.21*

Note: Traditional = traditional dilemma score. C = sensitivity to consequences. N = sensitivity to moral norms. I = general preference for inaction versus action. Extra = Extraversion. Agree = Agreeableness. Con = Conscientiousness. Neuro = Neuroticism. Open = Openness. Emot. Volatility = Emotional Volatility. Aes. Sensitivity = Aesthetic Sensitivity. Int. Curiosity = Intellectual Curiosity. Cr. Imagination = Creative Imagination. <sup>†</sup> p < .10. \* p < .05. \*\* p < .01. \*\*\* p < .001.

**Table S10**

*Cross-sectional zero-order correlations between personality traits and moral judgment indices in existing data from Luke & Gawronski (2021), full sample without excluding participants who failed attention check.*

Personality Trait	Time 1 ( <i>n</i> = 335)				Time 2 ( <i>n</i> = 200)			
	Traditional	<i>C</i> Parameter	<i>N</i> Parameter	<i>I</i> Parameter	Traditional	<i>C</i> Parameter	<i>N</i> Parameter	<i>I</i> Parameter
Extraversion	-.04	-.20***	-.05	-.04	-.06	-.18*	-.08	-.04
Agreeableness	-.31***	.13*	.41***	.16**	-.26***	.04	.25***	.12 <sup>†</sup>
Conscientiousness	-.22***	.01	.21***	.12*	-.25***	-.03	.16*	.08
Neuroticism	.04	-.04	-.05	-.02	.10	-.02	-.04	.02
Openness	-.31***	.26***	.44***	.25***	-.25***	.16*	.29***	.17*

Note: Traditional = traditional dilemma score. *C* = sensitivity to consequences. *N* = sensitivity to moral norms. *I* = general preference for inaction versus action. \* *p* < .05. \*\* *p* < .01. \*\*\* *p* < .001.

**Table S11**

*Cross-sectional zero-order correlations between personality traits and moral judgment indices in Study 2, full sample without excluding participants who failed attention check (n = 280).*

Personality Trait	Traditional	95% CI	C Parameter	95% CI	N Parameter	95% CI	I Parameter	95% CI
Extraversion	-.07	[-.19, .04]	-.21**	[-.32, -.09]	-.04	[-.16, .08]	.10 <sup>†</sup>	[-.02, .21]
Agreeableness	-.42***	[-.52, -.32]	.24***	[.13, .35]	.44***	[.34, .53]	.30***	[.19, .40]
Conscientiousness	-.38***	[-.47, -.27]	.17**	[.06, .28]	.36***	[.26, .46]	.33***	[.22, .43]
Neuroticism	.24***	[.12, .34]	-.02	[-.13, .10]	-.16**	[-.27, -.05]	-.24***	[-.35, -.12]
Openness	-.31***	[-.41, -.20]	.29***	[.18, .39]	.35***	[.25, .45]	.23***	[.11, .34]

Note: Traditional = traditional dilemma score. C = sensitivity to consequences. N = sensitivity to moral norms. I = general preference for inaction versus action. CI = confidence interval. <sup>†</sup> p < .10. \*\* p < .01. \*\*\* p < .001.

**Table S12**

*Means, standard deviations, 95% confidence intervals, and estimates of internal consistency of CNI model parameters and personality trait scores in Time 1 and Time 2 data from Luke & Gawronski (2021).*

Variable	Time 1 ( <i>n</i> = 305)			Time 2 ( <i>n</i> = 195)		
	<i>M</i> ( <i>SD</i> )	95% CI	$\alpha$	<i>M</i> ( <i>SD</i> )	95% CI	$\alpha$
<i>C</i> Parameter	0.20 (0.19)	[0.18, 0.22]	.71	0.20 (0.20)	[0.18, 0.23]	.73
<i>N</i> Parameter	0.48 (0.36)	[0.44, 0.52]	.79	0.51 (0.35)	[0.46, 0.55]	.74
<i>I</i> Parameter	0.49 (0.31)	[0.46, 0.53]	.50	0.53 (0.30)	[0.49, 0.57]	.37
Extraversion	2.91 (0.75)	[2.83, 2.99]	.74	2.93 (0.77)	[2.82, 3.03]	.77
Agreeableness	3.60 (0.70)	[3.52, 3.68]	.77	3.65 (0.67)	[3.55, 3.74]	.76
Conscientiousness	3.48 (0.76)	[3.39, 3.56]	.80	3.53 (0.76)	[3.43, 3.64]	.81
Neuroticism	2.68 (0.84)	[2.58, 2.77]	.82	2.61 (0.87)	[2.49, 2.73]	.86
Openness	3.68 (0.70)	[3.60, 3.75]	.74	3.70 (0.71)	[3.60, 3.80]	.75

*Note:* *C* = sensitivity to consequences. *N* = sensitivity to moral norms. *I* = general preference for inaction versus action. CI = confidence interval. Estimates of internal consistency for CNI parameters were calculated using two unique sets of CNI parameter estimates, one set estimated using odd-numbered moral dilemmas and one set estimated using even-numbered moral dilemmas.

**Table S13**

*Levene's test of equality of variances between variance in personality traits in Study 1 and variance in personality traits in other studies.*

Trait	Study 1		Study 2		Time 1		Time 2	
	Variance	Variance	Difference	Variance	Difference	Variance	Difference	
C	0.03	0.02	$F(1,488) = 7.64^{**}$	0.04	$F(1,553) = 2.66$	0.04	$F(1,443) = 2.59$	
N	0.08	0.13	$F(1,488) = 18.19^{***}$	0.13	$F(1,553) = 32.06^{***}$	0.12	$F(1,443) = 13.99^{***}$	
I	0.08	0.09	$F(1,488) = 1.65$	0.10	$F(1,553) = 4.06^*$	0.09	$F(1,443) = 1.32$	
Extra	0.55	0.48	$F(1,488) = 4.99^*$	0.56	$F(1,553) = 0.80$	0.59	$F(1,443) = 0.06$	
Agree	0.41	0.46	$F(1,488) = 1.36$	0.49	$F(1,553) = 1.65$	0.46	$F(1,443) = 0.11$	
Con	0.46	0.48	$F(1,488) = 0.15$	0.57	$F(1,553) = 2.66$	0.58	$F(1,443) = 4.39^*$	
Neuro	0.76	0.55	$F(1,488) = 9.21^{**}$	0.71	$F(1,553) = 0.78$	0.76	$F(1,443) = 0.10$	
Open	0.34	0.48	$F(1,488) = 5.43^*$	0.49	$F(1,553) = 10.95^{***}$	0.50	$F(1,443) = 12.81^{***}$	

Note: C = sensitivity to consequences. N = sensitivity to moral norms. I = general preference for inaction versus action. Extra = Extraversion. Agree = Agreeableness. Con = Conscientiousness. Neuro = Neuroticism. Open = Openness. Time reflects Time 1 data from Luke & Gawronski (2021); Time 2 reflect Time 2 data from Luke & Gawronski (2021). \*\*\*  $p < .001$ . \*\*  $p < .01$ . \*  $p < .05$ .

**Table S14**

*Parameter coefficients of the interaction term obtained from multiple regression analyses regressing moral judgment indices on Big Five trait scores (standardized), gender (standardized), and their interaction in existing data from Luke & Gawronski (2021) at Time 1 (n = 301) and Time 2 (n = 193).*

Personality Trait	Time 1 (n = 301)				Time 2 (n = 193)			
	Traditional	C Parameter	N Parameter	I Parameter	Traditional	C Parameter	N Parameter	I Parameter
Extraversion	0.08	0.00	-0.09	-0.05	0.12 <sup>†</sup>	-0.03	-0.14*	-0.11
Agreeableness	-0.06	-0.06	0.01	-0.02	-0.12	-0.03	0.08	0.02
Conscientiousness	0.02	0.00	-0.08	0.01	-0.02	0.00	-0.05	0.02
Neuroticism	-0.13*	0.03	0.09	0.11 <sup>†</sup>	-0.05	0.01	0.07	0.06
Openness	0.01	-0.05	-0.06	0.02	-0.02	0.02	-0.02	0.00

Note: Traditional = traditional dilemma score. C = sensitivity to consequences. N = sensitivity to moral norms. I = general preference for inaction versus action. <sup>†</sup>p < .10. \*p < .05. For purposes of analyses, gender was coded as dichotomous (1 = male, 2 = female), resulting in a reduced sample size.

**Table S15**

*Parameter coefficients of the interaction term obtained from multiple regression analyses regressing moral judgment indices on Big Five trait scores (standardized), gender (standardized), and their interaction in Study 1 (n = 246) and Study 2 (n = 238).*

Personality Trait	Study 1 (n = 246)				Study 2 (n = 238)			
	Traditional	C Parameter	N Parameter	I Parameter	Traditional	C Parameter	N Parameter	I Parameter
Extraversion	0.07	0.07	-0.06	-0.07	-0.06	-0.16**	0.04	-0.02
Agreeableness	0.00	-0.06	-0.05	-0.14*	-0.07	-0.03	0.12*	0.02
Conscientiousness	-0.04	0.02	0.07	0.03	-0.08	0.02	0.16**	0.05
Neuroticism	0.04	0.08	-0.03	0.18**	0.06	0.17**	-0.04	-0.04
Openness	-0.05	-0.08	-0.05	0.02	-0.02	-0.07	0.03	0.04

Note: Traditional = traditional dilemma score. C = sensitivity to consequences. N = sensitivity to moral norms. I = general preference for inaction versus action. \* p < .05. \*\* p < .01. For purposes of analyses, gender was coded as dichotomous (1 = male, 2 = female), resulting in a reduced sample size.

**Table S16**

*Parameter coefficients of the interaction term obtained from multiple regression analyses regressing moral judgment indices on Big Five trait scores (standardized), age (standardized), and their interaction in existing data from Luke & Gawronski (2021) at Time 1 (n = 303) and Time 2 (n = 194) and in Study 2 (n = 240).*

Personality Trait	Trad.	Time 1 (n = 303)			Time 2 (n = 194)			Study 2 (n = 240)				
		C	N	I	Trad.	C	N	I	Trad.	C	N	I
Extraversion	0.06	0.08	-0.02	-0.09	0.10	0.13	0.00	-0.07	-0.02	0.01	0.03	0.00
Agreeableness	0.06	0.09	0.08	-0.02	0.10	-0.04	-0.05	-0.16 <sup>†</sup>	0.01	-0.16*	-0.07	-0.04
Conscientiousness	0.04	0.15*	0.06	0.00	0.19*	0.09	0.01	-0.16 <sup>†</sup>	-0.01	-0.05	-0.01	-0.05
Neuroticism	-0.02	-0.07	-0.06	0.02	-0.19*	-0.12	-0.02	0.12	0.01	0.01	0.01	-0.04
Openness	0.10 <sup>†</sup>	0.01	0.02	-0.05	0.07	-0.04	-0.08	-0.13 <sup>†</sup>	0.06	-0.19***	-0.14**	-0.09 <sup>†</sup>

Note: Trad. = traditional dilemma score. C = sensitivity to consequences. N = sensitivity to moral norms. I = general preference for inaction versus action. <sup>†</sup> p < .10. \* p < .05. \*\* p < .01. \*\*\* p < .001. In each sample, some participants were missing age data, resulting in a reduced sample size.

**Table S17**

*Parameter coefficients of the interaction term obtained from multiple regression analyses regressing moral judgment indices on Big Five trait scores (standardized), Hispanic identification (standardized), and their interaction in existing data from Luke & Gawronski (2021) at Time 1 (n = 304) and Time 2 (n = 195).*

Personality Trait	Time 1 (n = 304)				Time 2 (n = 195)			
	Traditional	C Parameter	N Parameter	I Parameter	Traditional	C Parameter	N Parameter	I Parameter
Extraversion	-0.20**	0.17*	0.26***	0.11	-0.22**	0.00	0.14 <sup>†</sup>	0.07
Agreeableness	-0.13*	0.05	0.03	0.08	-0.21**	0.02	0.10	0.12 <sup>†</sup>
Conscientiousness	-0.11 <sup>†</sup>	0.01	0.03	0.01	-0.26***	0.02	0.13 <sup>†</sup>	0.12 <sup>†</sup>
Neuroticism	0.16*	-0.12 <sup>†</sup>	-0.17*	-0.05	0.27***	-0.05	-0.18*	-0.13
Openness	-0.14*	0.15*	0.16**	0.09	-0.19**	0.11	0.16*	0.12 <sup>†</sup>

Note: Traditional = traditional dilemma score. C = sensitivity to consequences. N = sensitivity to moral norms. I = general preference for inaction versus action. <sup>†</sup>p < .10. \*p < .05. \*\*p < .01. \*\*\*p < .001.

**Table S18**

*Parameter coefficients of the interaction term obtained from multiple regression analyses regressing moral judgment indices on Big Five trait scores (standardized), Hispanic Identification (standardized), and their interaction in Study 1 (n = 250) and Study 2 (n = 238).*

Personality Trait	Study 1 (n = 250)				Study 2 (n = 238)			
	Traditional	C Parameter	N Parameter	I Parameter	Traditional	C Parameter	N Parameter	I Parameter
Extraversion	-0.07	-0.07	0.00	-0.02	0.03	0.03	0.01	-0.07
Agreeableness	0.05	-0.03	-0.09	0.05	-0.13 <sup>†</sup>	0.07	0.14*	0.12 <sup>†</sup>
Conscientiousness	-0.15*	-0.14*	0.04	0.00	-0.06	0.17*	0.15 <sup>†</sup>	0.08
Neuroticism	0.08	0.08	0.01	-0.05	0.14	-0.15 <sup>†</sup>	-0.19*	-0.03
Openness	0.04	-0.01	-0.03	0.02	-0.15*	0.00	0.14*	0.07

Note: Traditional = traditional dilemma score. C = sensitivity to consequences. N = sensitivity to moral norms. I = general preference for inaction versus action. <sup>†</sup>p < .10. \*p < .05.

**Table S19**

*Parameter coefficients of the interaction term obtained from multiple regression analyses regressing moral judgment indices on Big Five trait scores (standardized), Asian identification (standardized), and their interaction in Study 1 (n = 250).*

Personality Trait	Traditional	95% CI	C Parameter	95% CI	N Parameter	95% CI	I Parameter	95% CI
Extraversion	0.10	[-0.03, 0.24]	0.13*	[0.00, 0.26]	0.05	[-0.08, 0.18]	0.04	[-0.09, 0.17]
Agreeableness	-0.06	[-0.19, 0.08]	-0.03	[-0.17, 0.10]	0.01	[-0.12, 0.15]	0.03	[-0.11, 0.17]
Conscientiousness	-0.02	[-0.15, 0.10]	-0.08	[-0.21, 0.04]	0.02	[-0.10, 0.15]	-0.18**	[-0.30, -0.05]
Neuroticism	-0.08	[-0.20, 0.05]	-0.06	[-0.18, 0.07]	0.09	[-0.03, 0.22]	0.00	[-0.12, 0.13]
Openness	-0.05	[-0.18, 0.07]	-0.01	[-0.13, 0.11]	0.03	[-0.09, 0.16]	0.06	[-0.06, 0.18]

Note: Traditional = traditional dilemma score. C = sensitivity to consequences. N = sensitivity to moral norms. I = general preference for inaction versus action. CI = confidence interval. \* p < .05. \*\* p < .01.