

## **AESP Proposal Guidelines (01/31/2025)**

The *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (AESP) series is the premier outlet for reviews of mature, high-impact research programs in social psychology. Different from the theoretical articles, conceptual reviews, and meta-analyses published in traditional review journals, AESP publishes theoretical chapters that review and integrate previously published findings of a research program by an individual scholar or research team. AESP does not publish empirical papers. Most chapters in AESP are invited contributions (~80%) that have been identified based on five criteria:

- (1) **Maturity.** Research programs reviewed in AESP contributions should be sufficiently well-established with a minimum of five peer-reviewed empirical publications on the topic.
- (2) **Breadth.** Research programs reviewed in AESP contributions should be sufficiently broad to be of interest to a substantial proportion of the diverse readership of AESP.
- (3) **Impact.** Research programs reviewed in AESP contributions should have demonstrated their impact via frequent citations of previous publications or have the potential for high impact in terms of anticipated influences on how social psychologists think about a given topic.
- (4) **Quality.** Although it is acknowledged that impact factors are imperfect indicators of scientific quality, the scientific reputation and impact rankings of the outlets of previous publications are considered as heuristic criteria for the quality of a research program.
- (5) **Diversity.** AESP aims for high diversity in terms of research topics as well as sociodemographic identities and regional backgrounds of authors.

In addition to solicited contributions, AESP welcomes unsolicited proposals for integrative reviews of mature research programs that meet the above criteria. Proposals should be a maximum of 1,000 words of text (excluding references) and describe (1) the overarching theme or question of the research program, (2) key findings to be reviewed in the proposed contribution, and (3) theoretical and/or practical implications of the research program. In addition to a traditional list of references, proposals should include a separate list of the five most significant empirical contributions of the research program. Although authors are welcome to refer to unpublished findings in their final AESP contributions, it is expected that all papers in the list of five significant empirical contributions are either published or accepted for publication. Because of its mission to publish reviews of mature research programs, first-authored AESP contributions by graduate students or postdocs are rather unusual.

Proposals for potential AESP contributions in PDF-format should be sent via email to the Editor Dr. Bertram Gawronski ([gawronski@utexas.edu](mailto:gawronski@utexas.edu)). Proposals are evaluated in terms of the five criteria for AESP contributions. Proposal authors will be notified within 30 days of the submission date about whether their proposed contribution is deemed suitable for AESP. Please do not submit full-length manuscripts without an official invitation from the Editor.

Full-length AESP contributions are typically about 15,000 to 20,000 words of text (excluding references, figures, and tables) and should constitute the “defining piece” of a mature, high-impact research program. References to related research by others are welcome, but the primary focus should be on the works of the author(s). AESP contributions are expected to provide sufficient detail within the text, tables, and figures, so that readers will be able to understand the nuances of previously published studies without having to read the original articles. Although AESP contributions should summarize and report findings from previously published studies, they should be distinct from earlier publications.